REMARKS

This application has been allowed. Applicants respectfully request, however, that the Examiner enter this Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. §1.312(a).

The Interview Summary mailed April 4, 2005 states that the interview was with attorney Thomas C. Webster. In fact, the interview was with the undersigned attorney, not with Thomas C. Webster. The undersigned attorney agrees that the substance of the interview was the undersigned attorney's authorization for an Examiner's amendment with respect to claims 59, 87, 89, and 95, the Examiner's Amendment being set forth in the Notice of Allowability mailed April 4, 2005.

This Rule 312(a) Amendment sets forth the amendments agreed to with respect to claims 87, 89, and 95. Applicants have further amended claim 59 to correct an error. Although the amendment of claim 59 departs from the Examiner's Amendment, applicants respectfully submit that the amendment of claim 59 provides a better correction to claim 59 than the one proposed by the Examiner. Support for the amendment of claim 59 is found in the specification at pages 10-11 and in Figure 3 of the drawings. It is respectfully submitted that the amendment does not add impermissible new matter.

provides a better

Support for the

0-11 and in Figure 3 of
does not add

OK to
enter for
enter